

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/016,392	BATCHER, KENNETH W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tamara Teslovich	2137	

All Participants:

(1) Tamara Teslovich.

(2) Larry Donovan.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 May 2005
Time: 10:30 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd

Claims discussed:


1, 8, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, and 28

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner brought to Mr. Donovan's attention duplicate claims 21 and 28 which were promptly cancelled. Examiner also raised the issue of indefiniteness (112 2nd) with respect to the use of the phrase 'generally simultaneously' in claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 and also within the specification at which time Mr. Donovan suggested replacing the phrase with 'concurrently' which Examiner agreed upon. Examiner also suggested changing all instances of the word 'permutating' to 'permuting' and 'permutated' to 'permuted' within the claims and specification which Mr. Donovan agreed upon. It was also agreed upon that claims 19 and 26 should read "The method of claim 15/22 comprising a step of..." rather than "The method of claim 15/22 of..."